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## Search for physics beyond the Standard Model with `small` experiments

Transversal polarization of $e^{+}$from $\mu^{+}$decay would be an indication of new physics



SM:
$P_{T_{1}} \approx 0$ beyond SM: ${ }^{1)}$
$P_{T_{2}}=0$

$$
P_{T_{1}}(x) \approx-\frac{2 \eta}{3-2 x}
$$

current limits
$0.016 \pm 0.021$
${ }^{1)} \boldsymbol{x}$ is the reduced energy $x=\frac{E_{e^{+}}}{E_{\max }}, \eta$ and $\beta / A$ are Michel parameters

Time Reversal Violation in a purely leptonic decay


$\neq$


Search for new scalar couplings

$$
\eta=\frac{1}{2} R e\left\{g_{R R}^{S}\right\}
$$

Model independent measurement of $G_{F}$

$$
G_{F}=\sqrt{192 \pi^{3} \frac{1}{\tau_{\mu} m_{\mu}^{5}}\left(1-4 \eta \frac{m_{e}}{m_{\mu}}\right)}
$$

## Measure $e^{+}$Polarization via the Spin Dependent $e^{+} e^{-}$Annihilation-Cross-Section


$\mu$ Spin rotates with $\omega$ around $z$ axis
Decay $e^{+}$and polarized $e^{-}$annihilate into two detected photons
$\Psi$ depends on $e^{+}$polarizaton



## How would a $P_{T}$ Signal look like ?

Simulated photon intensity distribution on the BGO wall ${ }^{1)}$


No Transversal Polarisation

$\left|P_{\backslash}\right|=1$


Time spectrum for fixed $\Delta \psi$ $\mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha$ are functions of $P_{T_{1}}$ and $P_{T_{2}}$

Transverse polarization gives an oscillation signal with frequency $\omega$ in the time spectrum of the annihilation photons. Here $\omega$ is the spin precession frequency and $t$ is the time from $\mu$-stop to its decay.

[^0]
## Analysis Step One: Reconstruction

## Calibration constant



Reconstruction efficiency


Two clusters and at leaset one reconstructed track required

## Analysis, Step Two: From Raw Data to `Good` Events

Energy $\left(E_{\text {tot }}=E_{\gamma_{1}}+E_{\gamma_{2}}\right)$ spectra of annihilation events


After all cuts $\approx 17 \%$ `good` annihilation events remain.

## Cut on the Kinematics to Extract the 'Good' Events

Calculate $\vartheta$ in two different ways:


Energy:
$\cos \vartheta=1-m_{e} \frac{E_{\gamma_{1}}+E_{\gamma_{1}}}{E_{\gamma_{1}} \cdot E_{\gamma_{1}}}$
Geometry
$\cos \vartheta=\vec{n}_{\gamma_{1}} \cdot \vec{n}_{\gamma_{2}} \quad$ for 'good' annihilations

## Use Monte Carlo to Find Optimal $\vartheta$ Cut

Annihilations in the foil
Background
$\vartheta^{\text {Geom }}-\vartheta^{\text {Energy }}$
for good annihilations


## Triggering on Two Photons at a minimal Distance: The Cluster Recognition Unit

How does it work?

Kinematics require a minimal cluster distance

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos \vartheta & =1-m_{e} \frac{4}{E_{e^{+}}} \quad d=2 z \tan \frac{\vartheta}{2} \\
d_{\min } & =d\left(E_{e^{+}}=50 \mathrm{MeV}\right) \approx 16 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$

FPGA aproach allows redefining trigger conditions `on the fly`

Distance between two clusters



## Data is Calibrated with Cosmic Muons

Reconstructing the cosmic tracks gives the tracklength in BGOs


Monte Carlo

Histogram Adc/x for cosmics


## Energy Resolution Was Measured With a Am-Be Source




## Theoretical and Experimental Analyzing Power

The analyzing power is the amplitude of the expected oszillation


Theoretical analyzing power, $\Psi=90^{\circ} P_{e^{-}}=100 \%, I P_{V}=1$

## Background is Dominated by Bremsstrahlung

No cuts applied Kinematics cut applied



Background as a function of the cut


- Annihilations elsewhere
- Annihilations in Foil

Single Bremsstrahlung
Double Bremsstrahlung

$\mu$ SR Effect is used to find the direction of the Muon Spin


## Amplitude of the $\mu$ SR Effect as a Function of Distance $\rho$ From the Symmetry Axis

Divide fiducial area on the magnetized foil into $\rho$ and $\phi$ bins



The blue line indicates theoretical expectation

## Phases and Amplitudes for all $\phi$ - bins



Stability of the Time-Zero during the whole run


Time-Zero : $10.67 \pm 0.187$ ns gives the time, when the muon spin shows upward.

Average $\mu$ SR amplitude: $0.297 \pm 0.004$, consistent with theory.

## A First Fit to the Data: $P_{T}$ at the Time of Annihilation

Fitting the components $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ using a Log Likelihood parameter estimation

Use the diff. annihilation cross-section

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}} \cdot \frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega} & =1+\mathcal{A} \cdot \sin (\omega t+\alpha) \\
& =: f\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, E_{\gamma_{1}}, E_{\gamma_{2}}, \Psi, t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where amplitude and phase are functions of the Energy and $\Psi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, E_{\gamma_{1}}, E_{\gamma_{2}}, \Psi\right) \\
& \alpha=\alpha\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, E_{\gamma_{1}}, E_{\gamma_{2}}, \Psi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right) & :=-\ln \prod_{i}^{n} f\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, E_{\gamma_{1}}^{i}, E_{\gamma_{2}}^{i}, \Psi^{i}, t^{i}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{i}^{n} \ln f^{i}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n$ is the number of 'good' annihilation events


## Final Step: Rotating $P_{1 / 2}$ to become $P_{T_{1 / 2}}$

from $\mu$ SR Effect


Rotation of a transverse positron polarization component in the WEB magnetic field (MC)


## The Transverse Polarization Components $P_{T_{1}}$ and $P_{T_{2}}$


$\mathbf{Q}^{L^{2}}$


## Foil Polarization: Sensitivity-Check Measure the Positrons Longitudinal Polarization

$$
\text { you are }{ }^{W \epsilon}
$$

## No Evidence for Additional Scalar Couplings in Muon Decay



Green circle: Result of a general analysis including all possible left- righthanded scalar, vector and tensor couplings. Red circle: Only one additional righthanded scalar coupling interferes with the lefthanded vector coupling in the SM.

## Outlook

$$
\text { are welcome to visit Kail Köhlers talk on Wednesday at } 11: 00
$$

You are ${ }^{w}$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1)} P_{\mathrm{T}} @ 45^{\circ}, E_{\gamma_{1}}=E_{\gamma_{2}}=10 \mathrm{MeV}, e^{-}$Polarisation in magn. Foil $100 \%$

